## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA · NORTHERN DIVISION

GERALD RUHNOW and CONNIE \* RUHNOW, \*

Plaintiffs,

v. \* CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05 CV 527-F

LANE HEARD TRUCKING, LLC., et al, \*

Defendants. \*

## MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S INSURANCE COVERAGE

Defendant Lane Heard Trucking, LLC ("Lane Heard") hereby moves the Court, in limine, for an order prohibiting and precluding all parties, their counsel and their witnesses from mentioning, referring to, attempting to admit into evidence, or in any way exposing to the jury at any stage during the trial of this matter, including but not being limited to the *voir dire* process, opening statements, or the later phases of the trial, any information concerning insurance coverage that might be available to Lane Heard for all or any portion of the claim made the basis of this lawsuit.

Lane Heard submits that Federal Rule of Evidence 411 bars such evidence of insurance: "Evidence that a person was or was not insured against liability is not admissible upon the issue whether the person acted negligently or otherwise wrongfully." Fed. R. Evid. 411. Stated otherwise, under the rule, evidence of liability insurance is rejected "for the purpose of proving fault, and absence of liability insurance as proof of lack of fault." Fed. R. Evid. 411, advisory committee's note. This rule historically has been based upon the "feeling that knowledge of the presence or absence of liability insurance would induce juries to decide cases on improper

grounds." Id. (citing McCormick §168; Annot., 4 A.L.R. 2d 761). "Rule 411 only requires the

objection to show that the evidence to be elicited involves liability insurance and that it may be

used on the issue of negligence, we need not prove any preliminary facts." Wright & Graham,

Federal Practice & Procedure: Evidence § 5369.

Moreover, "[t]he trial court has the discretion to exclude relevant evidence generally

when its probative value is substantially outweighed by its prejudicial impact in the case." Fed.

R. Evid. 403. One consideration "[i]n reaching a decision [of] whether to exclude [is] the

probable effectiveness or lack of effectiveness of a limiting instruction." Fed. R. Evid. 403

advisory committee's note. A second factor is the availability of other means of proof. Fed. R.

Evid. 403 advisory committee's note. Once liability insurance is mentioned, a limiting

instruction will not likely be an affective cure with the jurors. Further, the existence of liability

insurance does not tend to prove any relevant fact in this case.

WHEREFORE, Lane Heard submits to this Court that evidence proffered by the

plaintiffs related to Lane Heard's insurance coverage (1) is exclude by Federal Rule of Evidence

411, (2) is wholly irrelevant to this action and (3) would have an unfairly prejudicial effect

against Lane Heard which far outweighs any probative value under Federal Rule of Evidence

403. Accordingly, this motion in limine should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Katie L. Hammett

J. BURRUSS RIIS (RIISJ8057)

KATIE L. HAMMETT (HAMMK7587)

Attorneys for Defendant,

Lane Heard Trucking, L.L.C.,

Email: khammett@handarendall.com

2

OF COUNSEL: HAND ARENDALL, L.L.C. Post Office Box 123 Mobile, Alabama 36601 (251) 432-5511

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Document 79

I hereby certify that on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

J. Callen Sparrow, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC 2224 First Avenue North Birmingham, Alabama 35203 jcsparrow@hgdlawfirm.com

Linda C. Ambrose, Esq. Counsel for Northland Insurance Co. Rogers & Associates 3000 Riverchase Galleria, Suite 650 Birmingham, AL 35244 lambrose@spt.com

Richard McConnell (Mac) Freeman, Jr. Attorney for Defendant Christy Leann Ch Rushton Stakely Johnston & Garrett PC PO Box 270 Montgomery, AL 36101-0270 rmf@rsjg.com

Alex L. Holtsford, Esq. Murry S. Whitt, Esq. NIX HOLTSFORD GILLILAND HIGGINS & HITSON, PC Post Office Box 4128 Montgomery, Alabama 36103-4128 aholtsford@nixholtsford.com MWhitt@nixholtsford.com

/s/ Katie Hammett